New Forest District Council

Year ending 31 March 2016

Audit Plan

18 March 2016

Ernst & Young LLP







Ernst & Young LLP Wessex House 19 Threefield Lane Southampton SO14 3QB Tel: +44 2380 382000 Fax: +44 2380 382001 ey.com

Members of the Audit Committee New Forest District Council 18 March 2016

Dear Committee Members

2015/16 Audit Plan

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as your auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2015/16 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Council, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this plan with you on 18 March 2016 and to understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Helen Thompson

Executive Director

For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP Enc

Contents

1.	Overview	¹	.1
2.	Financial	statement risks	.;
3.	Economy	r, efficiency and effectiveness	. 4
4.	Our audi	process and strategy	. (
5.	Independ	lence	1(
Арр	endix A	Fees	12
App	endix B	UK required communications with those charged with governance	13

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16". It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website (www.psaa.co.uk)

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Plan is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Audit Committee, and is prepared for the sole use of the audited body. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

1. Overview

Context for the audit

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of New Forest District Council give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2016 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- a statutory conclusion on the Council's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO), to the extent and in the form required by them, on the Council's Whole of Government Accounts return.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- ▶ strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- the quality of systems and processes;
- changes in the business and regulatory environment; and
- management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Council. Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

There has been no change to our assessment of risk since last year.

In parts two and three of this plan we provide more detail on the above areas and we outline our plans to address them. Our proposed audit process and strategy are summarised below and set out in more detail in section four.

We will provide an update to the Audit Committee on the results of our work in these areas in our report to those charged with governance scheduled for delivery in September 2016.

Our process and strategy

Financial statement audit

We consider materiality in terms of the possible impact of an error or omission on the financial statements and set an overall planning materiality level. We then set a tolerable error to reduce the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds planning materiality to an appropriately low level. We also assess each disclosure and consider qualitative issues affecting materiality as well as quantitative issues.

We will look at the outcome of the work of internal audit in informing our view of how the Council has performed during 2015/16 and in assessing the adequacy of the Council's internal control environment. Further detail is included in section two of this Audit Plan.

Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

Our approach to the value for money (VFM) conclusion for New Forest District Council for 2015/16 is based on the approach specified by PSAA. For 2015/16 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"

We adopt an integrated audit approach, so our work on the financial statement audit feeds into our consideration of the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

Further detail is included in section three of this Audit Plan.

2. Financial statement risks

We outline below our assessment of the financial statement risks facing the Council, identified through our knowledge of the Council's operations and discussion with those charged with governance and officers.

At our meeting, we will seek to validate these with you.

Significant risks (including fraud risks)

Our audit approach

Risk of management override

As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.

We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

Our approach will focus on:

- testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements;
- reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias, and
- evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions.

Respective responsibilities in relation to fraud and error

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements, whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- identifying fraud risks during the planning stages;
- enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks;
- understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud;
- determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud; and
- performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified fraud risks.

3. Economy, efficiency and effectiveness

We are required to consider whether the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources.

For 2015/16 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- take informed decisions;
- deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

We are only required to determine whether there are any risks that we consider significant, which the Code of Audit Practice defines as:

"A matter is significant if, in the auditor's professional view, it is reasonable to conclude that the matter would be of interest to the audited body or the wider public."

Our risk assessment supports the planning of sufficient work to enable us to deliver a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and enables us to determine the nature and extent of further work that may be required. If we do not identify any significant risks there is no requirement to carry out further work.

Our risk assessment has therefore considered both the potential financial impact of the issues we have identified, and also the likelihood that the issue will be of interest to local taxpayers, the Government and other stakeholders. This has resulted in the following significant VFM risk which we view as relevant to our value for money conclusion.

Significant value for money risk

Our audit approach

Delivery of a sustainable medium term financial plan

Despite the continuing uncertainty of the current economic climate and a further reduction in government formula funding grant, the Council set a balanced budget for 2015/16 without needing to increase council tax. This has largely been possible due to the ongoing implementation of the Council's savings and efficiencies programme.

There are risks associated with delivery of these savings. Plans have been developed to mitigate these risks for 2015/16 and a balanced budget has been set for 2016/17 with an increase in council tax of 1.67%. 2017/18 onwards will be more difficult as £1.19 million of savings will be required. The Council has general fund reserves of £2.036 million, which are available to support service budgets during the continuing uncertain times.

However, in the face of ongoing reduction in government grants funding between 2015/16 and 2019/20, the Council needs to continue to consider what steps to take to plan for a sustainable financial future.

Our approach will focus on:

- reviewing the progress made in achieving the planned budget and required savings for 2015/16:
- assessing whether the Council has good systems and processes in place to manage their financial risks and opportunities effectively; and
- assessing the robustness of financial plans in for 2016/17 and in the medium term.

4. Our audit process and strategy

4.1 Objective and scope of our audit

Under the Code of Audit Practice (the Code) our principal objectives are to review and report on the Council's:

- ▶ financial statements; and
- arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue a two-part audit report covering both of these objectives.

i Financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We will also review and report to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return to the extent and in the form they require.

ii Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

The Code sets out our responsibility to satisfy ourselves that the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. In arriving at our conclusion, to the fullest extent possible we will place reliance on the reported results of the work of other statutory inspectorates in relation to corporate or service performance. In examining the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements we have regard to the criteria specified by the Code of Audit Practice.

4.2 Audit process overview

Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Council has identified the following key processes which we will walkthrough, during the interim audit, to obtain our understanding of their design and operation:

- accounts receivable
- accounts payable/procure to pay
- cash and bank/cash receipting
- payroll.

We have also identified the following key processes that we will walkthrough and test substantively post year-end:

- property, plant and equipment
- pensions
- treasury management
- financial statements close process.

Analytics

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- ▶ give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Audit Committee.

Internal audit

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our final reporting, where we raise issues that could have an impact on the year-end financial statements.

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists	
Property, Plant and Equipment	Council's external valuer and EY valuations team	
Pensions	Pension fund's actuary and EY's pensions team	

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Council's environment and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the expert to establish whether the source date is relevant and reliable;
- assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work;
 and
- ▶ assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.

Mandatory procedures required by auditing standards

As well as the financial statement risks outlined in section three, we must perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- entity-wide controls;
- ► reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

- reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement;
- ► reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO; and
- ► reviewing and examining, where appropriate, evidence relevant to the Council's corporate performance management and financial management arrangements, and its reporting on these arrangements.

4.3 Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition. We have initially determined that overall materiality for the Council's financial statements is £1.422 million based on 2% of gross expenditure.

We will communicate uncorrected audit misstatements greater than £71,000 to you.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all the circumstances that might ultimately influence our judgement. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

4.4 Fees

The PSAA has published a scale fee for all authorities. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice 2015. The indicative fee scale for the audit of the Council is £55,482 and for the certification of the grants is £5,492.

4.5 Your audit team

The engagement team is led by Helen Thompson (Executive Director), who has significant experience at New Forest District Council. Helen is supported by Justine Thorpe (Audit Manager) who is responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work and is the key point of contact for the Accountancy Manager.

4.6 Timetable of communication, deliverables and insights

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the VFM work and the Whole of Government Accounts. The timetable includes the deliverables we have agreed to provide to the Council through the Audit Committee's cycle in 2015/16. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with the PSAA rolling calendar of deadlines.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Committee Chair as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an Annual Audit Letter to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Council and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

. ...

Audit phase	Timetable	Audit Committee timetable	Deliverables
High level planning	January	June 2015	Audit Fee letter
Risk assessment and setting of scopes	February	March 2016	Audit Plan
Testing routine	March	March 2016	Audit Plan
processes and controls		June 2016	Audit progress update
Year-end audit	June	June 2016	Report to those charged with governance via the Audit Results Report
			Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements; and overall value for money conclusion).
			Audit completion certificate
Completion of audit			Reporting to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return.
Conclusion of reporting	July	September 2016	Annual Audit Letter

In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

5. Independence

5.1 Introduction

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 'Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance', requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear on our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we do this formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications

Planning stage

Final stage

- The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by EY including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us.
- The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality Review.
- ► The overall assessment of threats and safeguards.
- Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence.
- A written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that bear on our objectivity and independence, the threats to our independence that these create, any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed.
- Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto.
- Written confirmation that we are independent.
- Details of any inconsistencies between APB Ethical Standards, the PSAA Terms of Appointment and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy.
- An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services.

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY has charged to you for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed and analysed in appropriate categories.

5.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

Self-interest threats

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Council.

At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Council has approved and that are in compliance with the PSAA Terms of Appointment.

At the time of writing, there are no non-audit fees. No additional safeguards are required.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Council. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no other self-interest threats at the date of this report.

Self-review threats

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no other self-review threats at the date of this report.

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

Overall Assessment

Overall we consider that the adopted safeguards appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified, and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Helen Thompson, the Audit Engagement Director and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

5.3 Other required communications

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended June 2015 and can be found here:

http://www.ey.com/UK/en/About-us/EY-UK-Transparency-Report-2015

Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

	Planned Fee 2015/16 £	Scale fee 2015/16 £	Outturn fee 2014/15 £	Explanation
Opinion audit and VFM Conclusion	55,482	55,482	73,076	25% reduction in fee in line with the PSAA procurement exercise
Grants	5,492*	5,492*	6,570	
Total Audit Fee – Code work	60,974	60,974	79,646	
Non-audit work	0	0	0	

All fees exclude VAT.

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- officers meet the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- the internal controls operate effectively for the key processes outlined in section 4.2 above;
- we can rely on the work of internal audit as planned;
- our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion are unqualified;
- appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Council; and
- ▶ the Council has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Council in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

^{*} Our fee for the certification of grant claims is based on the indicative scale fee set by the PSAA.

Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Audit Committee. These are detailed here:

Required communication	Reference
Planning and audit approach	▶ Audit Plan
Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations.	
Significant findings from the audit	Report to those
 Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures 	charged with governance
▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit	
 Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management 	1
 Written representations that we are seeking 	
 Expected modifications to the audit report 	
▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process	
Misstatements	► Report to those
▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion	charged with
▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods	governance
 A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected 	
▶ In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant	
Fraud	Report to those
► Enquiries of the Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity	charged with governance
 Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist 	
 A discussion of any other matters related to fraud 	
Related parties	 Report to those
Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:	charged with governance
► Non-disclosure by management	
 Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions 	
▶ Disagreement over disclosures	
 Non-compliance with laws and regulations 	
▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity	
External confirmations	► Report to those
▶ Management's refusal for us to request confirmations	charged with
 Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 	governance
Consideration of laws and regulations	► Report to those
► Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off	charged with governance
► Enquiry of the Audit Committee into possible instances of non- compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Committee may be aware of	

Required communication Reference Independence Audit Plan Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's Report to those objectivity and independence charged with governance Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as: The principal threats Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness An overall assessment of threats and safeguards Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence Going concern Report to those charged with Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's governance ability to continue as a going concern, including: Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit Report to those charged with governance **Fee Information** Audit Plan Breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan Report to those charged with Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit governance Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary **Certification work** Annual Report to those charged with Summary of certification work undertaken governance summarising grant certification, and Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

 $\ensuremath{@}$ Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK. All Rights Reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com